

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,094	09/17/2003	Donald G. Gruett	9423.17878	3717
26308	7590 03/15/2006	EXAMINER		
RYAN KRO	OMHOLZ & MANION,	KOCZO JR, MICHAEL		
POST OFFICE BOX 26618 MILWAUKEE, WI 53226			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WIE WACKE	35, 111 33220		3746	
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/664,094	GRUETT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Koczo, Jr.	3746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) □ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-12 is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01-09-04.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: the species of figures 1, 4 and 14. The species are independent or distinct because they are mutually exclusive.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 to 3 and 6 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

During a telephone conversation with John M. Manion on March 3, 2006, a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the claims drawn to the species of figure 1.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 7 to 12 stand withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 3, line 6 refers to a "second embodiment" of the invention. However, it is not clear which figure shows the first embodiment of the invention.

Uniform terminology must be maintained throughout the specification. For example, page 4, line 11 recites an "evacuation seal spring 24", whereas line 30 recites an "evacuation valve coil spring 24".

On page 4, line 18, "body 15" should read --body 13--.

Appropriate correction is required.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: there is no basis in the specification for "cylindrical chamber" of claim 1.

Claim Objections

Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 2, line 3, "of" (first occurrence) should read --with--. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 to 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are:

The "second check valve" of claim 1 is not structurally and functionally related to any other structure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1, as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either of Oderman or Whitaker et al.

Oderman discloses a pump/metering device having a first check valve D, a piston C, a metering/pump chamber A, and a second check valve G. The piston C completely evacuates the metering/pump chamber A on the working stroke (page 1, lines 33 to 41).

Whitaker et al disclose a pump/metering device having a first check valve 15, a piston 11, a metering/pump chamber 10, and a second check valve 12. The piston 11 completely evacuates the metering/pump chamber 10 on the working stroke (see figure 2 which shows the piston in abutting relationship with the check valve 15 to completely evacuate the chamber 10). Characterizing the device for use with a "liquid medium" is merely the intended use of the device. The device of Whitaker et al is clearly capable of use with a liquid medium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Oderman or Whitaker et al in view of Stockbridge. Each of Oderman or Whitaker et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, these references do not disclose a check valve having a seal in sealing engagement with the chamber. Stockbridge discloses a check valve having a seal 9 which improves the sealing effect between the check valve 8 and the valve seat. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to provide the check valve of either of Oderman or Whitaker et al with a seal.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitaker et al.

Whether or not the piston 11 of Whitaker et al extends out of the chamber is deemed to be a

Art Unit: 3746

matter of design choice since no unobvious or unexpected result is attained by this feature. Note that in Whitaker et al the chamber is evacuated completely as explained previously.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 to 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry relating to patent applications in general should be directed to the Patent Assistance Center at 1-800-786-9199.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Koczo, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4830. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy S. Thorpe can be reached at 571-272-4444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Koczo, Jr. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3746